UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

			ICT OF ARIZON	VA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.			ORDE	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Antolin Ramirez-Santos		Case Number:	11-02690M-001		
In acco present detention	rdance value on of the	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 s represented by counsel. I conclude by defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing a preponderance of the ev	g was held on March 10, 2011. Defendant was idence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		· • •	INDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence				nitted for nermanent residence	
∑ The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the			•	•	
		·	• •		
		Enforcement, placing him/her beyond to or otherwise removed.	I herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs of the placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported be removed.		
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
 The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond restored to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. 			ne/she might make a bond reasonably calculated		
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but substantial family ties to Mexico.			nt but has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as orderThe defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact		ear in court as ordered.			
		enforcement contact by fl	eeing from law enforcement.		
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	rears imprisonment.	
at the ti	The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the materi ne hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Sed in the record.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court	
		co	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	1.	There is a serious risk that the defend	int will flee.		
2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of th		the appearance of the defendant as required.			
		DIRECTIO	NS REGARDING DETENT	FION	
appeal. of the U	ctions fac The de Inited St	cility separate, to the extent practicable, fendant shall be afforded a reasonable of	rom persons awaiting or se pportunity for private cons Government, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a court a charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the section with a court proceeding.	
		APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELE	EASE	
deliver	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Prehial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.				
	s suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to ently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered to allow.	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE:	_ Marcl	h 10, 2011_	$\langle $	JAY R. IRWIN	